

HOMOGENEOUS QUASIMORPHISMS ON THE SYMPLECTIC LINEAR GROUP

BY

GABI BEN SIMON AND DIETMAR A. SALAMON

*Department Mathematik, ETH, HG G 37.1,
 Ramistrasse 101, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
 e-mail: gabi.ben.simon@math.ethz.ch and salamon@math.ethz.ch*

ABSTRACT

We prove a uniqueness theorem for homogeneous quasimorphisms on the universal cover of the symplectic linear group.

Let G be a group. A **quasimorphism** on G is a map $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\rho(gh) - \rho(g) - \rho(h)| \leq C$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and a suitable constant C . It is called **homogeneous** if $\rho(g^k) = k\rho(g)$ for every $g \in G$ and every integer $k \geq 0$. Let

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n) := \{\Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n} \mid \Psi J_0 \Psi^T = J_0\}, \quad J_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

denote the group of symplectic matrices and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ its universal cover. Think of an element of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ as a homotopy class $[\Psi]$ (with fixed endpoints) of a smooth path $\Psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ satisfying $\Psi(0) = \mathbb{1}$.

THEOREM 1: *There is a unique homogeneous quasimorphism μ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ that descends to the determinant homomorphism on $\mathrm{U}(n)$ in the sense that*

$$\det(X + iY) = \exp(2\pi i\mu([\Psi])), \quad \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{pmatrix} := \Psi(1),$$

for every $[\Psi] \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ with $\Psi(1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \cap \mathrm{O}(2n) \cong \mathrm{U}(n)$.

Received December 20, 2007 and in revised form May 14, 2008

The quasimorphism of Theorem 1 plays a central role in [3] and this motivated the present note. Two explicit constructions of the quasimorphism can be found in [1] and [5]. The construction in [1] uses the unitary part in a polar decomposition and homogenization. The construction in [5] uses the eigenvalue decomposition of a symplectic matrix (but does not mention the term *quasimorphism*).

LEMMA 1: *If $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homogeneous quasimorphism, then ρ is invariant under conjugation and $\rho(g^{-1}) = -\rho(g)$ for every $g \in G$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Let C be the constant in the definition of quasimorphism. By homogeneity, we have $\rho(1) = 0$. Hence $|\rho(g^k) + \rho(g^{-k})| \leq C$ for every $g \in G$ and every integer $k \geq 0$. By homogeneity, we obtain $|\rho(g) + \rho(g^{-1})| \leq C/k$ for every k and so $\rho(g^{-1}) = -\rho(g)$. Hence

$$|\rho(ghg^{-1}) - \rho(h)| = |\rho(ghg^{-1}) - \rho(g) - \rho(h) - \rho(g^{-1})| \leq 2C.$$

Using homogeneity again we obtain $\rho(ghg^{-1}) = \rho(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Sp}(2n)$ denote the set of symmetric positive definite symplectic matrices. This space is contractible and hence there is a natural injection $\iota : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n)$. Explicitly, the map ι assigns to a matrix $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the unique homotopy class of paths $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ with endpoints $\Phi(0) = \mathbb{1}$ and $\Phi(1) = P$.

Let $\mu : \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasimorphism that descends to the determinant homomorphism on $\text{U}(n)$. It suffices to prove that the restriction of μ to $\iota(\mathcal{P})$ is bounded. (If μ' is another quasimorphism satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1 and μ, μ' are bounded on $\iota(\mathcal{P})$ then, by polar decomposition and the determinant assumption, their difference is bounded and so, by homogeneity, they are equal.) We prove that μ vanishes on $\iota(\mathcal{P})$. For every unitary matrix $Q \in \text{U}(n) \subset \text{Sp}(2n)$ and every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$(1) \quad \mu(\iota(QPQ^T)) = \mu(\iota(P)).$$

To see this, choose two paths $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $\Psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{U}(n)$ such that $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 1$ and $\Phi(1) = P$, $\Psi(1) = Q$. Then $\mu([\Phi]) = \mu([\Psi\Phi\Psi^{-1}])$, by Lemma 1, and so (1) follows from the fact that $\Psi^{-1} = \Psi^T$. Now let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Since P is a symmetric symplectic matrix we have $PJ_0P = J_0$ and hence

$$\mu(\iota(P)) = \mu(\iota(J_0P^{-1}J_0^{-1})) = \mu(\iota(P^{-1})) = \mu(\iota(P)^{-1}) = -\mu(\iota(P)).$$

Here the second equation follows from (1) and the last from Lemma 1. This shows that $\mu(\iota(P)) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$. ■

Remark 1: Lemma 1 is well known to the experts [2]. We included a proof to give a self-contained exposition.

Remark 2: Related results, obtained with different methods, are contained in [1] and [4]. Our main theorem can in fact be deduced from these results.

Remark 3: The determinant homomorphism $\det : \mathrm{U}(n) \rightarrow S^1$ is uniquely determined by the condition that it induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. Hence it follows from Theorem 1 that the homogeneous quasimorphism $\mu : \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniquely determined by the condition that it restricts to an isomorphism of the fundamental group of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ to the integers.

Remark 4: The referee pointed out to us the following generalization.

Let G be a uniformly perfect group and $Z \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a central extension. If ρ is a homogeneous quasimorphism on \tilde{G} that vanishes on Z then $\rho \equiv 0$.

To see this we first observe that, since ρ vanishes on Z , we have

$$\rho(zg) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \rho(z^k g^k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \rho(g^k) = \rho(g)$$

for all $z \in Z$ and $g \in \tilde{G}$. Hence ρ descends to G . Now let $c > 0$ be the constant in the definition of quasimorphism. Then, by Lemma 1, we have $|\rho(ghg^{-1}h^{-1})| = |\rho(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) - \rho(g) - \rho(hg^{-1}h^{-1})| \leq c$ for all $g, h \in G$. Since every element of G can be expressed as a product of at most N commutators we have $|\rho(g)| \leq (2N - 1)c$ for all $g \in G$. Thus the quasimorphism is bounded and hence vanishes identically.

Theorem 1 follows from this generalization because $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is uniformly perfect and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n)$ is a central extension of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$. However, the geometric properties of the Maslov quasimorphism $\mu : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ derived in the proof of Theorem 1 do not follow from the above algebraic argument.

References

- [1] J. Barge and E. Ghys, *Cocycle D'Euler et de Maslov*, *Mathematische Annalen* **294** (1992), 235–265.
- [2] C. Bavard, *Longueur stable des commutateurs*, *Enseignement des Mathématiques* **37** (1991), 109–150.

- [3] G. Ben Simon, *The geometry of partial order on contact transformations of prequantization manifolds*, in *Arithmetic and Geometry Around Quantization*, (O. Ceyhan, Y. I. Manin and M. Marcolli, eds.), *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, Basel,
- [4] M. Burger, A. Iozzi and A. Wienhard, *Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant*, *Annals of Mathematics*, to appear.
- [5] D. A. Salamon and E. Zehnder, *Floer homology, the Maslov index, and periodic solution of Hamiltonian equations*, in *Analysis et cetera*, (P. H. Rabinowitz and E. Zehnder, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1990, pp. 573–600.